

Consistency with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies

Gateway Question Part 3, Section B, Question 5

SEPPs	Consistency
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 1 – Development Standards</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 19 – Bushland in Urban Areas</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 21 – Caravan Parks</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 30 – Intensive Agriculture</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 33 – Hazardous and Offensive Development</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 36 – Manufactured Home Estates</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 44 – Koala Habitat Protection</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 47 – Moore Park Showground</i>	Does not apply.

SEPPs	Consistency
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 50 – Canal Estate Development</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 52 – Farm Dams and Other Works in Land and Water Management Plan Areas</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 55 – Remediation of Land</i>	The planning proposal does not contain provisions that would be inconsistent with, or hinder the application of the SEPP.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 62 – Sustainable Aquaculture</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 64 – Advertising and Signage</i>	The planning proposal does not contain provisions that would be inconsistent with, or hinder the application of the SEPP.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 65 – Design Quality Of Residential Apartment Development</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy No 70 – Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes)</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009</i>	Does not apply.

SEPPs	Consistency
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004</i>	The planning proposal does not contain provisions that would be inconsistent with, or hinder the application of the SEPP.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Concurrences) 2018</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Educational Establishments and Child Care Facilities) 2017</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008</i>	Consistent This PP will facilitate future development of the site as recreation area as development without Consent.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Gosford City Centre) 2018</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007</i>	Consistent The ISEPP aims to facilitate the effective delivery of infrastructure across the state. The proposal will enable the site to be developed under Division 12 of the ISEPP parks and other public reserves. And in doing so improve the efficiency of the planning process and delivery of key community infrastructure.

SEPPs	Consistency
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Kosciuszko National Park – Alpine Resorts) 2007</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Kurnell Peninsula) 1989</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Mining, Petroleum Production & Extractive Industries) 2007</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Miscellaneous Consent Provisions) 2007</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Penrith Lakes Scheme) 1989</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Primary Production and Rural Development) 2019</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011</i>	<p>Consistent.</p> <p>While no land in the Lane Cove Local Government Area is declared as either ‘State Significant development’ or ‘State Significant Infrastructure’ certain land (particularly Lane Cove North and St Leonards) is within the vicinity of ‘Critical State Significant Infrastructure’.</p> <p>Under Schedule 5 the area is associated with the Sydney Metro City and Southwest project. The development is for</p>

SEPPs	Consistency
	<p>construction of stations and associated underground rail infrastructure for the Sydney Metro project.</p> <p>However, “<i>the development does not include surveys, test drilling, test excavations, geotechnical investigations, contamination investigations or other tests, surveys, sampling or works for the purposes of the design or assessment of Sydney Metro City and Southwest</i>”.</p> <p>The planning proposal is still consistent with this policy as it does not contain provisions that would be inconsistent with, or hinder the application of the SEPP.</p>
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (State Significant Precincts) 2005</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Region Growth Centres) 2006</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Three Ports) 2013</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Urban Renewal) 2010</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas) 2017</i>	The planning proposal does not contain provisions that would be inconsistent with, or hinder the application of the SEPP.

SEPPs	Consistency
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Western Sydney Employment Area) 2009</i>	Does not apply.
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Western Sydney Parklands) 2009</i>	Does not apply.
<i>Sydney Regional Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005</i>	Does not apply.